

PUBLICATION REPORT

APPROVED TO PRIME PRIME

1772

6/94

INTERPRETING A SINGLE ANTISTREPTOLYSIN O TEST: A COMPARISON OF THE "UPPER LIMIT OF NORMAL" AND LIKELIHOOD RATIO METHODS

BY

Gregory C. Gray, Jeffery P. Struewing, Kenneth C. Hyams, Joel Escamilla, Alan K. Tupponce and Edward L. kaplan

U.S. NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH UNIT NO. 3
(CAIRO, ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT)
PSC 452, BOX 5000
FPO AE 09835-0007

94-14390

94 5 13 026

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTION 1

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public researching burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, publicing; and is and source that includes a properties for reducing this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information in hiding supportances for reducing this burden to Washington beadguarters. Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson 1 (1998), visual visu

Transition of South 1204 Arlungton, VA 222	02.4302, and to the Office of Management and	i Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (070)	I-0188), Washington, DC 20503.	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blo	2. REPORT DATE 13 APR 1993	3. REPORT TYPE AND DAT	ES COVERED	
the "Upper Limit of Norma 6. AUTHOR(5) Gray, Gregory C., Struewin	reptolysin O Test: A Comparison l' and Likelihood Ratio Method ng, Jeffery P., Hyams, Kenneth Alan K. and Kaplan, Edward L	n of P. W.	INDING NUMBERS E- 62770A /U- 3M162770A870.AR.322	
7. PERFOREMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		REORMING ORGANIZATION	
U.S. Naval Medical Researd PSC 452, Box 5000 FPO AE 09835-0007	RI	6/94		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AG Naval Medical Research and Command, National Naval 1 Building 1, Tower 12 Bethesda, MD 20889-5044		PONSORING/MONITORING GENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		<u> </u>	**************************************	
Published in: J. Clin. Epid	emiol., <u>46(</u> 10):1181-1185, 1993	; Acc. No. 1772.		
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT	12b.	DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public release Distribution is unlimited.	;			
frequently used tool for deter rheumatic fever. Using data experienced S. pyogenes upp interpreting a single ASO tite greater than 400 showed evice 65.9 and 81.9% respectively likelihood ratio method statis	ccasionally influence clinicians in cting recent Streptococcus pyogo from a 1989 prospective study per respiratory tract infection (2-ter. Using the "upper limit of nedlence of recent S. pyogenes infe. In contrast to the "yes-no" distics were ASO value specific, no cians must use in interpreting a	enes infection and is helpful in of 600 healthy male military dilution rise in ASO), this re- pormal" (80 percentile) method ection. This method had a ser chotomy of the "upper limit of more consistent with clinical ju	n the diagnosis of diseases like recruits, in which 43% port compared two methods of a recruits with an ASO titer of a necruity and specificity of only of normal method, the	
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
Antistreptolysin O; Streptoco Rheumatic fever; Infection; l	16. PRICE CODE			
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT				
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED		

INTERPRETING A SINGLE ANTISTREPTOLYSIN O TEST: A COMPARISON OF THE "UPPER LIMIT OF NORMAL" AND LIKELIHOOD RATIO METHODS

GREGORY C. GRAY, 1* JEFFERY P. STRUEWING, 2 KENNETH C. HYAMS, 3 JOEL ESCAMILLA, 4 ALAN K. TUPPONCE 3 and EDWARD L. KAPLAN 6

¹U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit No. 3, Cairo, Egypt, ²Navy Environmental and Preventive Medicine Unit No. 5, San Diego, CA 55455, ³Naval Medical Research Institute, Bethesda, MD, ⁴Navy Environmental and Preventive Medicine Unit No. 6, Pearl Harbor, HI 96860-5040, ⁵Navy Environmental and Preventive Medicine Unit No. 5, San Diego, CA 92136-5143 and ⁶World Health Organization Collaborating Center for Reference and Research on Streptococci, Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.

(Received in revised form 13 April 1993)

Abstract—Single serologic tests may occasionally influence clinicians in making diagnoses. The antistreptolysin O (ASO) test is a frequently used tool for detecting recent Streptococcus pyogenes infection and is helpful in the diagnosis of diseases like rheumatic fever. Using data from a 1989 prospective study of 600 healthy male military recruits, in which 43% experienced S. pyogenes upper respiratory tract infection (2-dilution rise in ASO), this report compared two methods of interpreting a single ASO titer. Using the "upper limit of normal" (80 percentile) method, recruits with an ASO titer of greater than 400 showed evidence of recent S. pyogenes infection. This method had a sensitivity and specificity of only 65.9 and 81.9% respectively. In contrast to the "yes-no" dichotomy of the "upper limit of normal" method, the likelihood ratio method statistics were ASO value specific, more consistent with clinical judgment, and better emphasized the caution clinicians must use in interpreting a single ASO test.

Antistreptolysin O Streptococcus pyogenes Likelihood ratio Upper limit of normal Rheumatic fever Infection

INTRODUCTION

The reemergence of more virulent strains of Streptococcus pyogenes, accompanied with their numerous clinical manifestations, has led clinicians to more frequently consider the probability of S. pyogenes infection. In the absence of positive cultures, serologic tests are often used. At present, there is no perfect serologic

technique to confirm recent S. pyogenes infection. The most commonly available and easiest assay to perform is the antistreptolysin O titer (ASO). This test is particularly effective at detecting upper respiratory tract S. pyogenes infection [2, 7]. A rise in ASO titer occurs in the second week after infection and reaches its maximum value at 4-6 weeks [13]. When using an appropriate dilution scheme, a 2-dilution incremental rise or greater in ASO titer is usually accepted as serologic confirmation of recent infection [2]. When acute and convalescent sera are not available, a single ASO value above the "upper limits of normal" (80 percentile) is considered evidence of recent infection [8, 9, 12]. This "upper limit of normal" (ULN)

Informed consent was obtained from all patients. Data and specimen collection procedures were approved by the Naval Medical Research Institute's Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects.

Reprint requests should be addressed to: Dr Gray, Naval Health Research Center, Box 85122, San Diego, CA

92186-5122, U.S.A.

ASO titer varies with population, age, and individual laboratory [1, 2, 7, 8]. The dichotomous ULN method fails to quantify the likelihood that individual ASO test results reflect recent infection. No matter their magnitude, all ASO titers above the ULN are classified in the same fashion. The purpose of this study was to compare the ULN method with a likelihood ratio method of interpreting a single ASO test. The likelihood ratio method offers a continuum of risk estimation, based upon the magnitude of the test result. Data from a previous study of healthy military recruits was available for this comparison.

METHODS

Study group

Data for this investigation were collected during a previously reported epidemiologic study of 873 male U.S. Marine Corps recruits who entered recruit training camp in January 1989 [4]. Serum and throat cultures were obtained from all recruits within 48 h of entering camp (pre-training sample) and again 11 weeks later (post-training sample). Questionnaire data were obtained from recruits at the time of the pre-training blood sample. Six hundred recruits met the enrollment criteria: denial of a sore throat during the 2-week period before entering camp and donation of both pre-training and post-training sera samples.

Laboratory studies

ASO titers [10] were determined using 0.10 log dilution increments: 1:100, 1:120, 1:120, 1:160, 1:200, 1:240, 1:320, 1:400, 1:480, 1:640, 1:800, 1:960, 1:1280, 1:1600, 1:1920, and 1:2560. ASO and throat culture methodology has been reported previously [4]. Reagents for ASO testing were purchased from DIFCO (Detroit, MI). Logarithm (base 10) conversion of ASO titers was performed prior to analysis. Because exact titers were not determined for ASO values <100, these sera were arbitrarily assigned the ASO value of 50 before geometric mean titers were calculated.

Statistical analyses

A chief aim of this work was to examine two methods of interpreting a single ASO titer as a predictor of recent S. pyogenes infection. In this study a post-training ASO titer was used as the single test and a 2-dilution rise or greater in

ASO titer (pre-training to post-training) was defined as serologic confirmation of infection. Using various post-training ASO cutoff points, calculations of sensitivity and specificity were made. Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of recruits with a 2-dilution or greater rise (pre-training to post-training) in ASO titer who had a post-training ASO titer above a determined cutoff point. Specificity was defined as the proportion of recruits without a 2-dilution or more rise in ASO titer who had a post-training ASO titer less than or equal to a cutoff point. Likelihood ratio statistics were determined for post-training throat culture results and each post-training ASO value by defining infection as a 2-dilution rise in ASO titer (pretraining to post-training) and using the formulae [3, 5]:

Pre-test odds of infection were calculated from pre-test probability of infection (prevalence) using the equation:

Pre-test odds =
$$\frac{\text{(Pre-test probability)}}{\text{(1 - Pre-test probability)}}.$$
 (2)

Post-test odds of infection were calculated by using the formula:

Post-test odds

= Pre-test odds*likelihood ratio. (3)

Post-test probability of infection was calculated by applying the following equation:

Post-test probability =
$$\frac{\text{(Post-test odds)}}{\text{(1 + Post-test odds)}}$$
. (4)

Overall post-test odds of infection considering 2 independent tests were calculated using the following formula:

Overall post-test odds = Pre-test odds

*likelihood ratio1*likelihood ratio2. (5)

The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare non-parametric distributions.

RESULTS

The distribution of recruit ASO titers is shown in Table 1. The geometric mean pre-training and post-training ASO titers were 200 and 295 respectively. The ULN (80-percentile)

Table 1. Distribution of pre-training and post-training serum ASO titers among 600 healthy U.S. Marine Corps recruits, 1989, San Diego, California

ASO Titer	Pre-training (number of recruits)	Post-training (number of recruits)	Sensitivity	Specificity
<100	97	80		23.4
100	39	26		31
120	54	32	100	40.4
160	53	45	96.9	51.2
200	59	46	90.3	59.6
240	106	61	81.4	70.8
320	35	33	75.6	76
400	51	45	65.9	81.9
480	48	67	53.1	91.8
640	25	37	44.2	95.9
800	18	38	32.9	98.5
960	9	34	21.3	99.7
1280	3	11	17.4	100
1600	1	19	10.1	100
1920	0	9	6.6	100
2560	2	17	0	100

Sensitivity and specificity calculations were made for post-training ASO titers referenced at various cutoff points and compared to a 2-dilution rise (over 11 weeks) in ASO standard.

titer for pre-training ASO was 400. Two hundred fifty-eight (43%) of the 600 recruits had a 2-dilution or greater rise in ASO (pre-training to post-training).

Twenty-six (4.3%) of the 600 recruits had throat cultures positive for S. pyogenes upon enrolling in the study. Recruits with a positive pre-training throat culture had higher pre-training and post-training ASO titers, but were at no greater risk of infection (2-dilution rise in ASO titer), as compared with recruits with a negative pre-training throat culture for S. pyogenes. Geometric mean pre-training titers were 380 (throat culture positive for S. pyogenes) and 192 (throat culture negative) (Kruskal-Wallis test, p < 0.001). Geometric mean post-training titers were 463 (pre-training throat culture positive for

S. pyogenes) and 289 (pre-training throat culture negative), respectively (Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.025).

Sixty-six (11%) of the 600 recruits had posttraining throat cultures positive for S. pyogenes. The likelihood ratios of recruit infection (2dilution rise in ASO titer) with negative and positive throat cultures were 0.85 and 3.82, respectively.

The sensitivity and specificity of the single post-training ASO titer (examined at various cutoff points) predicting a 2-dilution rise in ASO (pre-training to post-training) are recorded in Table 1. Similarly, likelihood ratios for individual post-training ASO titers predicting a 2-dilution rise in ASO are recorded in Table 2. Likelihood ratios were multiplied by an array of

Table 2. The probability of recent S. pyogenes infection determined from a single ASO test in a population of 600 U.S.

Marine Corps Recruits, 1989, San Diego, California

Pre-test probability of infection p	Pre-test odds of infection $p/(1-p)$	Post-test probability of infection or predictive value after a single ASO test for specific ASO titers (likelihood ratios)							
		240 (0.8)	320 (1.1)	400 (1.7)	480 (1.3)	640 (2.2)	800 (4.3)	960 (9.9)	1280 (13.3)
0.05	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.19	0.34	0.41
0.10	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.16	0.12	0.19	0.32	0.52	0.60
0.15	0.18	0.12	0.16	0.23	0.19	0.28	0.43	0.64	0.70
0.20	0.25	0.17	0.22	0.30	0.25	0.35	0.52	0.71	0.77
0.25	0.33	0.21	0.27	0.36	0.30	0.42	0.59	0.77	0.82
0.30	0.43	0.25	0.32	0.42	0.36	0.48	0.65	0.81	0.85
0.35	0.54	0.30	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54	0.70	0.84	0.88
0.40	0.67	0.35	0.42	0.53	0.47	0.60	0.74	0.87	0.90
0.45	0.82	0.39	0.47	0.58	0.51	0.64	0.78	0.89	0.92
0.50	1.00	0.44	0.52	0.63	0.57	0.69	0.81	0.91	0.93

Likelihood ratios shown here should only be applied to similar populations. The likelihood ratios for ASO titres greater than 1:1280 could not be calculated due to division by zero. Final probabilities were calculated using the product of the odds of disease before the test [p/(1-p)] and the likelihood ratio for the ASO titer. These odds of disease after the ASO (x:y) were then converted to probabilities by using the formula: x/(x+y).

potential pre-test odds of infection yielding post-test odds of infection (formula 3). These post-test odds were then converted to probabilities or positive predictive values of infection (formula 4).

DISCUSSION

Diagnosis of S. pyogenes upper respiratory tract infection is not easy. The condition is confounded by a lack of consistently reliable "diagnostic" symptoms or clinical signs [4, 8, 11], multiple serologic markers with quantitatively unpredictable responses [8], and by asymptomatic S. pyogenes carriers [6]. Clinicians generally do not rely upon serologic data for diagnoses of acute S. pyogenes infections such as uncomplicated pharyngitis, because often patients will not have had time to develop an immune response. Rather, serologic tests are of greater value for confirming a complication of S. pyogenes infection such as rheumatic fever.

There are a number of difficulties interpreting an ASO test. Streptococci other than S. pyogenes may elevate the ASO titer. ASO response to S. pyogenes infection is dependent upon the site; throat infections are more likely to cause ASO elevations than are skin infections [2, 4, 7]. Not all acute infections result in ASO elevations, especially if treated early with antibiotics. Finally, the elevation in ASO occurs several weeks after infection. Thus a single ASO test has poor sensitivity.

Even considering these limitations, when both acute and convalescent sera are unavailable, laboratories often use an ASO titer above an "upper limit of normal" or some other cutoff point as evidence of recent S. pyogenes infection. The package insert for the ASO reagents used in this study describes values > 100 as elevated in adults. This cutoff point is clearly too low for the present study population as 77.3% of recruits would have been classified as having elevated ASO titers before they were exposed to the S. pyogenes epidemic, which is unlikely. Using the ULN (80-percentile pretraining ASO) titer as a cutoff point, recruits with post-training ASOs greater than 400 had evidence of recent S. pyogenes infection. This method of detecting infection had a sensitivity and a specificity of 65.9 and 81.9%, respectively (Table 1), and missed 34.1% of true infections [8]. The dichotomous ULN method values an ASO titer of 480 the same as an ASO titer of >2560 because both are greater than the 80

percentile value for this population. Similarly, an ASO titer of 40 is valued the same as an ASO titer of 400 because both are at or below the 80 percentile value. This logic is not consistent with clinical reasoning, yet such are the limitations of the ULN methodology.

In contrast, the likelihood ratio values (Table 2) calculated in this study gave more clinically useful information. They provided a ratio of the odds of infection to the odds of no infection for individual ASO titers. These statistics were more intuitive because the higher the ASO titer, the more confident the clinician could be in estimating recent S. pyogenes infection. Additionally, if the probability of infection was known prior to the ASO test, a post-ASO test probability of disease could be calculated [5] (Table 2). Likelihood ratios offer an additional value in that they may be used multiplicatively (formula 5) to evaluate overall probability for a series of independent tests [3]. The post-test odds of infection for a preceding test may be used as the pre-test odds of infection for the next test in the series (formula 3).

As an example, assume that a clinician frequently sees members of a population similar to this study population. He or she knows from previous serologic testing that on average 15% of patients with sore throats and fevers develop serologic evidence for S. pyogenes infection. The clinician evaluates a 19-year-old male with recent trauma to his right ankle and subsequent ankle tenderness and swelling. The patient reports a history of an untreated sore throat and fever 3 weeks ago. The physician obtains a throat culture and a serum sample from this patient. The next day the laboratory reports an ASO titer of 640. Using Table 2, the patient now has a 2.2:1 relative odds of recent S. pyogenes infection compared to no infection. Using the pre-test probability of 0.15 (pre-test odds = 0.18), the clinician calculates a 28% probability that the patient recently suffered a S. pyogenes infection. The following day the laboratory reports that the throat culture was positive for S. pyogenes. The clinician now uses the previous probability of 28% to calculate a new pre-test odds of 0.39. These odds are then multiplied by the likelihood ratio for a positive throat culture (3.82) which results in an overall post-test odds of disease of 1.49 which translates to an overall probability of recent infection of 0.60 (alternately the clinician could have used formula 5 for this calculation). These results may encourage him to consider acute rheumatic

fever in his differential diagnosis. In contrast, by the ULN method, the clinician would only have a dichotomous ASO result which favored recent S. pyogenes infection. The result would be the same whether the ASO titer was 480 or 2560. The clinician would have had no objective way to combine the results of the single ASO test and the results of the throat culture.

Our study has several limitations. Although it is recognized that most rheumatic fever patients experience an elevation in ASO titer, no subject in this study developed rheumatic fever. However, the 2-dilution rise in ASO titer using a 0.10 log scale is a common standard for epidemiologic studies of S. pyogenes and rheumatic fever infections. Upper limits of normal and likelihood ratios for ASO tests should be calculated at individual laboratories for specific populations. We recognize that this may not be practical in all settings. Additionally, due to the poor sensitivity of the ASO test, the likelihood ratios should be considered as conservative. When using these likelihood ratios, a high likelihood ratio for S. pyogenes infection should be valued more than a low likelihood ratio.

In summary, interpreting a single ASO test is difficult. Clinicians should not rely upon package insert guidelines but should compare a titer value with values in similar populations run at the same laboratory. A single test has the most diagnostic value when it results in a high titer. The magnitude of this diagnostic value is best interpreted by statistics such as the likelihood ratio. In contrast to the "yes-no" dichotomy of using the ULN method to predict recent S. pyogenes infection, likelihood ratio statistics, although not always practical, offer a continuum of risk estimation which is more consistent with clinical judgment.

Acknowledgements—This study was funded by U.S. Naval Medical Research and Development Command work unit numbers 3M162770A870AR122 and 3M162770A870AR-322

REFERENCES

- Dillon Jr HC, Reeves MS. Streptococcal immune responses in nephritis after skin infection. Am J Med 1974; 56: 333-346.
- Ferrieri P. Immune responses to streptococcal infections. In Rose NR, Friedman H, Fahey JL, eds.
 Manual of Clinical Laboratory Immunology, 3rd edition. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology; 1986: 336-341.
- Fletcher RH, Fletcher SW, Wagner EH. Clinical Epidemiology, 2nd edition. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins; 1988: 61-69.
- Gray GC, Escamilla J, Hyams KC, Struewing JP, Kaplan EL, Tupponce AK. Hyperendemic Streptococcus pyogenes infection despite prophylaxis with penicillin g benzathine. N Engl J Med 1991; 325: 92-97.
- Hulley SB, Cummings SR. Designing Clinical Research. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins; 1988: 91-92.
- Kaplan EL. The group A streptococcal upper respiratory tract carrier state: an enigma. J Pediat 1980; 97: 337-345.
- Kaplan EL, Anthony BF, Chapman SS, Ayoub EM, Wannamaker LW. The influence of the site of infection on the immune response to group a streptococci. J Clin Invest 1970; 49: 1405-1414.
- Kaplan EL, Top FH, Duddling BA, Wannamaker LW. Diagnosis of streptococcal pharyngitis: Differentiation of active infection from the carrier state in symptomatic children. J Inf Dis 1971; 123: 490-501.
- Klein GC, Baker CN, Jones WL. "Upper limits of normal" antistreptolysin o and antideoxyribonuclease B titers. Appl Microbiol 1971; 21: 999-1001.
- Klein GC, Moody MD, Baker CN, Addison BV. Micro antistreptolysin O test. Appl Microbiol 1968; 16: 184.
- Wannamaker LW. Perplexity and precision in the diagnosis of streptococcal pharyngitis. Am J Dis Child 1972; 124: 352-358.
- Wannamaker LW, Ayoub EM. Antibody titers in acute rheumatic fever. Circulation 1960; 21: 598-614.
- Wood HF, McCarty M. Laboratory aids in the diagnosis of rheumatic fever and in evaluation of disease activity. Am J Med 1954; 17: 768-774.

Acces	sion For	中				
HIIS	GRARI	3				
DTIC	DTIC TAB					
Unannounced						
Justification						
By	ibution					
2	lability					
	AVM1 and					
Dist	Special	-				
A-1	20	,				